Figure 1. Dose response curves for five scenarios

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generated

Dose response curves for toxicity (blue), efficacy (red), and utility (purple). True MTD and MED are noted on two curves, respectively.

Table 1. Dose recommendation percentage of each dose level for 4 designs under 5 scenarios.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S1: Extremely Good** | **Dose Level** | | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | None |
| Expected NETS | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.48 | NA |
| Expected Efficacy | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | NA |
| Utility | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.05 | NA |
| EWOC | 0.20 | 0.60 | 3.50 | 50.30 | 45.40 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 0.10 | 0.40 | 57.60 | 41.50 | 0.10 | 0.30 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 95.10 | 4.70 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| **S2: Good** | **Dose Level** | | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | None |
| Expected NETS | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 0.80 | NA |
| Expected Efficacy | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | NA |
| Utility | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.04 | -0.61 | NA |
| EWOC | 0.40 | 1.80 | 29.00 | 64.80 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 0.10 | 1.60 | 65.10 | 32.40 | 0.00 | 0.80 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.20 | 1.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| **S3: Moderate** | **Dose Level** | | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | None |
| Expected NETS | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.48 | NA |
| Expected Efficacy | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 0.92 | NA |
| Utility | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.26 | -0.03 | NA |
| EWOC | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 26.00 | 73.60 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 0.00 | 0.10 | 13.30 | 76.10 | 5.00 | 1.60 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.40 | 77.40 | 5.20 | 0.00 |
| **S4: Bad** | **Dose Level** | | | | | |
| **1** | 2 | **3** | **4** | **5** | None |
| Expected NETS | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1 | NA |
| Expected Efficacy | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.59 | NA |
| Utility | 0.00 | -0.90 | -1.83 | -1.67 | -1.41 | NA |
| EWOC | 53.00 | 43.30 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.70 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| **S5: Extremely Bad** | **Dose Level** | | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | None |
| Expected NETS | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1 | NA |
| Expected Efficacy | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.33 | NA |
| Utility | 0.00 | -0.91 | -1.88 | -1.82 | -1.67 | NA |
| EWOC | 53.40 | 42.70 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.90 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

Table 2. DLT rates/Average NETS under each design for the 5 scenarios

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | S1: Extremely Good | S2: Good | S3: Moderate | S4: Bad | S5: Extremely Bad |
| DLT rates | EWOC | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| EWOUC | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Average NETS | EWOUC-NETS | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.37 |

Table 3. Expected utility under each design for the 5 scenarios

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | S1: Extremely Good | S2: Good | S3: Moderate | S4: Bad | S5: Extremely Bad |
| Expected Utility | EWOC | 0.21 | 0.03 | -0.04 | -0.54 | -0.54 |
| EWOUC | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.11 | -0.57 | -0.59 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.22 |

Table 4. Percentage of patients treated at each dose level for the 4 designs under 5 scenarios

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S1: Extremely Good** | **Dose Level** | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| EWOC | 8.80 | 11.96 | 20.83 | 40.46 | 17.95 |
| EWOUC | 8.72 | 13.25 | 61.60 | 16.43 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 8.33 | 8.33 | 72.48 | 10.72 | 0.13 |
| **S2: Good** | **Dose Level** | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | 4 | **5** |
| EWOC | 9.15 | 14.82 | 35.64 | 37.71 | 2.68 |
| EWOUC | 8.82 | 14.75 | 63.29 | 13.13 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 8.33 | 8.36 | 75.99 | 7.32 | 0.00 |
| **S3: Moderate** | **Dose Level** | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| EWOC | 8.62 | 10.00 | 11.37 | 33.51 | 36.51 |
| EWOUC | 8.65 | 11.23 | 25.74 | 51.98 | 2.39 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 8.33 | 8.33 | 17.44 | 61.46 | 4.43 |
| **S4: Bad** | **Dose Level** | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| EWOC | 47.42 | 47.75 | 4.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 33.61 | 52.13 | 14.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 26.61 | 72.24 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| **S5: Extremely Bad** | **Dose Level** | | | | |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| EWOC | 48.18 | 47.02 | 4.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC | 33.75 | 51.67 | 14.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EWOUC-NETS | 26.89 | 71.82 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 4. Expected time for a trial (months) under different assessment time periods and mean inter-cohort arrival times for 12 cohorts under scenario 1

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mean Inter-arrival time (Month) | Assessment Period (Month) | EWOC-NETS-Comp (Month) | EWOUC-NETS-NW (Month) | Change |
| 0.25 | 3 | 28.05 | 5.99 | -78.65% |
| 0.5 | 3 | 28.27 | 8.98 | -68.23% |
| 1 | 3 | 28.36 | 14.96 | -47.25% |
| 2 | 3 | 34.65 | 26.92 | -22.31% |
| 3 | 3 | 42.37 | 38.88 | -8.24% |